TROY FAGG,

Plaintiff, **CIVIL ACTION**

NO. 1:14-CV-3539-CC v.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, KEITH FIXEL, GEORGE FRAZIER, LYNETTE WILLIAMS, THOMAS NOYES, and SATINA PYRON,

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, KEITH FIXEL, GEORGE FRAZIER, LYNETTE WILLIAMS, THOMAS NOYES, AND SATINA PYRON'S MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S SURREPLY

COME NOW Defendants the United States of America ("United States"), Keith Fixel, George Frazier, Lynette Williams, Thomas Noyes, and Satina Pyron (collectively, "Defendants"), and move the Court to strike Plaintiff's Amended Response and Memorandum in Response to Defendants the United States of America, Keith Fixel, George Frazier, Lynette Williams, Thomas Noyes, and Satina Pyron's Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint (Dkt. 11) ("Plaintiff's Surreply"). The grounds for this Motion are set forth more fully in the attached Memorandum of Law.

TROY FAGG, :

Plaintiff, : CIVIL ACTION

v. : NO. 1:14-CV-3539-CC

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : KEITH FIXEL, GEORGE FRAZIER, : LYNETTE WILLIAMS, THOMAS : NOYES, and SATINA PYRON, :

:

Defendants.

:

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, KEITH FIXEL, GEORGE FRAZIER, LYNETTE WILLIAMS, THOMAS NOYES, AND SATINA PYRON'S MOTION TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S SURREPLY

Defendants the United States of America ("United States"), Keith Fixel, George Frazier, Lynette Williams, Thomas Noyes, and Satina Pyron (collectively, "Defendants") timely filed their Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint (Dkt. 5) on January 20, 2015. Plaintiff filed a Response in Opposition (Dkt. 9) on February 9, 2015. Defendants timely filed their Reply (Dkt. 10) on February 12, 2015. Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 26 and Local Rule 7.1, this completed the briefing on this motion and it became ripe for submission to the Court.

Without leave from the Court and under no authority in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Local Rules, Plaintiff filed his Surreply (Dkt. 11) on February 17, 2015. This pleading should be struck. The briefing on Defendants' Motion is complete, Plaintiff had an opportunity to fully brief his response as authorized by the rules and has done so, and there is no justification for any further back-andforth. "Neither the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure nor this Court's Local Rules authorize the filing of surreplies." Fedrick v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC, 366 F.Supp.2d 1190, 1197 (N.D.Ga.2005) (citing Byrom v. Delta Family Care-Disability & Survivorship Plan, 343 F.Supp.2d 1163, 1188 (N.D.Ga.2004)). "To allow such surreplies as a regular practice would put the court in the position of refereeing an endless volley of briefs." Garrison v. N.E. Ga. Med. Ctr., Inc., 66 F.Supp.2d 1336, 1340 (N.D.Ga.1999). Rather, surreplies typically will be permitted only in unusual circumstances, such as where a movant raises new arguments or facts in a reply brief, or where a party wishes to inform the Court of a new decision or rule implicating the motion under review. Cf., e.g., Fedrick, 366 F.Supp.2d at 1197 (stating "valid reason for ... additional briefing exists ... where the movant raises new arguments in its reply brief"). Plaintiff cannot demonstrate that a particular argument or representation made by Defendants in their reply warrants a surreply by them, and Plaintiff's Surreply should be struck.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN HORN ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

LORI M. BERANEK Assistant U.S. Attorney

/s/ GABRIEL A. MENDEL

Gabriel A. Mendel Assistant U.S. Attorney Georgia Bar No. 169098 600 United States Courthouse 75 Spring Street, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Voice: (404) 581-6000

Facsimile: (404) 581-4667

TROY FAGG, :

Plaintiff, : CIVIL ACTION

v. NO. 1:14-CV-3539-CC

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : KEITH FIXEL, GEORGE FRAZIER, : LYNETTE WILLIAMS, THOMAS : NOYES, and SATINA PYRON, :

:

Defendants.

:

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that the documents to which this certificate is attached have been prepared with one of the font and point selections approved by the Court in LR 5.1B for documents prepared by computer.

s/ Gabriel A. Mendel

Gabriel A. Mendel Assistant U.S. Attorney

TROY FAGG,

Plaintiff, **CIVIL ACTION**

. NO. 1:14-CV-3539-CC

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, KEITH FIXEL, GEORGE FRAZIER,

LYNETTE WILLIAMS, THOMAS NOYES, and SATINA PYRON,

V.

Defendants.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I electronically filed the within and foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system.

This 17th day of February, 2015.

s/ Gabriel A. Mendel

Gabriel A. Mendel Assistant U.S. Attorney